Lemma

Koorosh Sadri

December 2020

Lemma: Let \mathbb{A}_N be the vector space of $N \times N$ Hermitean matrices with no diagonal entries.

$$\mathbb{A}_N \equiv \left\{ A \in C_H^{N \times N} \mid A_{ii} = 0 \right\}$$

Also, let \mathcal{A}_N be the following convex set

$$\mathcal{A}_N \equiv \left\{ A \in \mathbb{A}_N \mid \mathbb{I} + A \ge 0 \right\}$$

Then for any $A \in \text{ext}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbb{I} + A) \leq \sqrt{N}$.

Corollary: For N < 4, since $\mathbb{I} + A^{ext}$ is of unit rank and has unit diagonal, we may write

$$A_{ij}^{ext.} = e^{i(\phi_i - \phi_j)} - \delta_{ij}$$

Proof: A is an extreme point for \mathcal{A} iff for any $A' \in \mathbb{A}$, the line A + tA' falls outside \mathcal{A} either for t > 0 or t < 0. Now for any $A \in \text{ext}(\mathcal{A})$, let $k \equiv \text{rank } \mathbb{I} + A > 0$, then

$$\mathbb{I} + A = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} \lambda_{\alpha} |u_{\alpha}\rangle \langle u_{\alpha}|; \quad \lambda_{\alpha} > 0; \quad \langle u_{\alpha}| u_{\beta}\rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

then in the eigen-basis for A we may write

$$\mathbb{I} + A + tA' = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda + tV & tB \\ tB^{\dagger} & tC \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\Lambda = \text{diag}[\lambda_{\alpha}]$, and B and C are Hermitean matrices of sizes $k \times k$ and $(N - k) \times (N - k)$ respectively. For $C \neq 0$, the matrix is always non positive semi definite for either t > 0 or t < 0. Therefore, the extremity of A is determined only by A' that make C = 0. Next, we see that we also need B = 0. In that regard consider

$$(t\vec{a}^{\dagger},\mu\vec{b}^{\dagger}) \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda+tV & tB \\ tB^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t\vec{a} \\ \mu\vec{b} \end{pmatrix} = t^2 \Big[\vec{a}^{\dagger}\Lambda\vec{a} + \mu \big(\vec{a}^{\dagger}B\vec{b} + \vec{b}^{\dagger}B^{\dagger}\vec{a} \big) \Big] + \mathcal{O}(t^3)$$

For nonzero B, there is always a choice of μ and \vec{b} that make this negative for small t. Therefore, if any A' work as a witness for non – extremeity of A they must have B = 0. Finally we may write such an A' with only V components as

 $A' = \sum_{\alpha\beta} V_{\alpha\beta} \left| u_{\alpha} \right\rangle \left\langle u_{\beta} \right|$

 $A' \in \mathbb{A}$ also insists that

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha,i}^* u_{\beta,i} V_{\alpha\beta} = 0; \quad \forall 1 \le i \le N$$
(1)

Finally, we have proved that extremity of A is equivalent to (1) having no non-trivial (i.e. other than $V_{\alpha\beta} = 0$) solutions. Since this is a linear system with k^2 unknowns and N equations, we must at least have $k^2 \leq N$ or there WILL be nontrivial solutions. QED.

We just showed that A could be extreme only if rank $(\mathbb{I} + A) \leq \sqrt{N}$. Note that A would be extreme if the following $k \times k$ matrices are linearly independent. (w.r.t the field \mathbb{C})

$$M_{\alpha\beta}^{(i)} \equiv u_{\alpha,i}^* u_{\beta,i} \qquad 1 \le i \le N$$

Let us finish with an extreme point in \mathcal{A}_4 that has rank $(\mathbb{I} + A) = 2$. Here it is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -i/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & \frac{1-i}{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & i/\sqrt{2} & \frac{1+i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbb{I} + A = 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \vec{u}_{\alpha} \vec{u}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$$

with

$$\vec{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \vec{u}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/2 \\ i/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

this is extreme because the following are linearly independent

$$M^{(1)} = 1/2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad M^{(2)} = 1/2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$M^{(3)} = 1/4 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad M^{(4)} = 1/4 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Conjecture:

$$\forall 1 \le k \le \sqrt{N} \exists A \in \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}_N) \ s.t. \ \text{rank}(\mathbb{I} + A) = k$$

Remark: Note that the last counter example shows that not any K > 0 with $K_{ii} = 1$ may be written as

$$K_{ij} = \left\langle e^{i(\theta_i - \theta_j)} \right\rangle = \int d\mu(\vec{\theta}) e^{i(\theta_i - \theta_j)}$$

For some probability measure μ . In other words there are universal constraints on $K_{ij} = e^{i(\theta_i - \theta_j)}$ other than positivity and unit diagonal entries. Yet in other words

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -i/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1 & \frac{1-i}{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & i/\sqrt{2} & \frac{1+i}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not in the form $\left\langle e^{i(\theta_i - \theta_j)} \right\rangle$ for any distribution.